

684.2948

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner: D. Chacko Davi MITSUYA SATO Group Art Unit: 1756 Application No.: 09/472,993 Eilada December 38 1000	
: Group Art Unit: 1756 Application No.: 09/472,993 :	is
Application No.: 09/472,993) :	
:	
Eilada Dagambar 20 1000)	
Tiled. December 20 1000	
Filed: December 28, 1999)	
:	
For: EXPOSURE APPARATUS AND) June 4, 2001	
EXPOSURE METHOD :	
j	70
The Commissioner for Detents	
The Commissioner for Patents	
Washington, D.C. 20231	
	RECEIVE
X 9	أسيب

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action dated May 2, 2001.

In the Office Action, the Examiner sets forth a restriction requirement between two groups of claims. Group I, claims 1, 2, 5 through 11 and 15, is directed to an exposure apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 68.

Group II, claims 3, 4, 12 through 14, 16 and 17, is directed to an exposure method, classified in class 430, subclass 328.

The Examiner contends that the inventions of Groups
I and II are patentably distinct, apparently because they are
related as process and apparatus for its practice, and have
acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their
different classification, such that the fields of search are
not coextensive requiring separate examination. These
contentions are respectfully traversed.

Applicant notes that the inventions of Groups I and II are so closely related in the field of exposure processing of substrates that a proper search of any of the claims would, of necessity, require a search of the others. Thus, it is submitted that all of the claims can be searched simultaneously, and that a duplicative search, with possibly inconsistent results, may occur if the restriction requirement is maintained.

Applicant further submits that any nominal burden placed upon the Examiner to search an additional subclass or two, necessary to determine the art relevant to Applicant's overall invention is significantly outweighed by the public interest in not having to obtain and study several separate patents in order to have available all of the issued patent

claims covering Applicant's invention. The alternative is to proceed with the filing of multiple applications, each consisting of generally the same disclosure, and each being subjected to essentially the same search, perhaps by different Examiners on different occasions. This places an unnecessary burden on both the Patent and Trademark Office and on Applicant.

In the interest of economy, for the Office, for the public-at-large and for Applicant, reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement are requested.

Nevertheless, in order to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.143, Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, to prosecute the invention of Group I, namely claims 1 2, 5 through 11 and 15.

Favorable consideration and an early passage to issue are requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 33,326

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SEW:kjs



In re Application of:

Docket No.: 684.2948

MITSUYA SATO

Application No.: 09/472,993

Examiner: D. Chacko-Davis

Filed: December 28, 1999

Group Art Unit: 1756

For: EXPOSURE APPARATUS AND

EXPOSURE METHOD

Date: June 4, 2001

(Monday)

Transmitted herewith is a Response To Restriction Requirement in the above-identified application.

X No additional fee is required.

e fee has been calculated

CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	21	MINUS	21	= 0	x \$ 9 \$18	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	5	MINUS	5	0	x \$40 \$80	\$0.00
Fee for Multiple Dependent claims \$135/\$270						
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$0.00					\$0.00	

	Verified Statement claiming small entity status is enclosed, if not filed previously.
	A check in the amount of \$ is enclosed.
	Charge \$ to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.
X	Any prior general authorization to charge an issue fee under 37 CFR 1.18 to Deposit Account No. 06-1205 is hereby revoked. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 which may be required during the entire pendency of this application, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 06-1205. A duplicate of this paper is enclosed.
	A check in the amount of \$ to cover the Extension fee for response within months is enclosed.
	A check in the amount of \$ to cover the Information Disclosure Statement fee is enclosed.
X	Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our address listed below.
	Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 33,326 ZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO Rockefeller Plaza

SEW:kjs

New York, New York 10112-3801

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200